BOXES MODEL FOR CONSPIRACY AND POLITICS

By: Joel v.d. Reijden | **Date:** Aug. 8, 2023 | **Update:** Dec. 11, 2023.


GREENS & SOCIALISTS
Endless Third World immigration is good!
ALL DEMOCRATS
Endless Third World immigration is good!
ELITE REPUBLICANS
Endless Third World immigration is good!
NEOCONS
Endless Third World immigration is good!
IGNORED CENTER
ANTIFA MOVEMENT
Endless Third World immigration is good!
EU LIBS & SOCIALISTS
Endless Third World immigration is good!
ELITE LIBERTARIANS
Endless Third World immigration is good!
"ALT-RIGHT"*
Ban it!! We're giant weirdos though.
ISGP-studies.com '18
You know what I want to vote for, sis?
I know, it's not there.
*Controlled opposition. Conspiracy disinfo, plays into "hate" stigmas, etc.
A normal liberal-socialist party that is strongly against Third World immigration - based on scientific reason.
Yet... the facts ARE: ethnic crime and IQ stats, polls, clashing values, animosity, and too few white births.

CONTENTS

1. Intro: our managed democracy

2. Boxes Model: three basic vertical tiers, from "elite" to "deep cover"
3. Boxes Model for politics
4. Boxes Model for conspiracy disinformation

5. Notes

"The smart way to keep people passive and obedient is to strictly limit the spectrum of acceptable opinion, but allow very lively debate within that spectrum – even encourage the more critical and dissident views [within the allowed spectrum]. That gives people the sense that there's free thinking going on..."

~ 1998, Noam Chomsky [1], a world class "anti-establishment" "liberal CIA" propagandist, who nevertheless worded certain concepts extremely well.

All the details Chomsky wouldn't talk about involve the banning of all anti-Third World immigration debates, certainly based on polls, IQ and ethnic crime numbers; and replace it with white guilt wars; the contrived LGBTQ and feminism wars against (white) males and partly vice versa via the "alt right"; the Black Lives Matter wars against the (white-dominated) police; and conspiracy disinformation wars around chemtrails, vaccines, ancient aliens and flat earth against (more prestigious) rent-a-skeptics.

Intro: our managed democracy

It actually is a fact that citizens of "the West" have never lived in any kind of "full democracy". So much has been demonstrated in countless ISGP articles, the most important in this case likely being the articles:

1. on the CFR, where we focus a lot on appointed cabinet members and the media;
2. the managed electoral process, in which we tie 170 years of U.S. presidencies and cabinet members to a handful of big business interests;
3. on the "liberal CIA" network;
4. and on the "conservative CIA" network.

Time and time again we see that a tiny group of corporate-tied globalist elites, mainly through the media, manage the candidates the citizenry is able to vote for each election, with the winning candidates then appointing all the friends and proteges of those who got them in power to key cabinet positions. The term here is "managed democracy".

A key part of the managed democracy is to always - also outside of elections - to run the democracy by simulating left-right (and a bit of "center") debates through the creation of a variety of predetermined, tightly-guarded political boxes. These boxes, or "sides", are allowed to debate ad nauseam all kinds of topics that either don't matter or circumvent the facts that will lead to the undermining of the globalist agenda: relentless Third World immigration at the top. The electoral process is simply an extension of the managing of these boxes.

Boxes Model: three basic vertical tiers, from "elite" to "deep cover"

In the previous section we mention "liberal CIA" and "conservative CIA", which are terms developed and reserved by ISGP for *anti-establishment controlled-opposition*. In another article ISGP discusses the 'three establishment model', which is fully focused on how liberal, conservative and Zionist *elites* in the West have organized themselves in think tanks, conferences, social clubs and various other prestigious NGOs.

What we need is a model though, one that puts all these elements together and makes it much easier for readers to understand supranational controlled-opposition politics.

The basis of that ISGP model is three tiered:

1. **Openly elite/establishment:** Anyone seen as "elite" by the masses due to wealth, connections, position. Generally also someone who openly embraces that role without pretending to attack any "powers that be". This class mainly involves politicians, businessmen, high level military officers, prestigious lawyers and prestigious media anchors and journalists.


From
Subject Fwd: Fwd: CFR graph
3/7/22, 21:42
To Me <joel@isgp-studie
I think NED is really elite and kind of different, but when I was looking at its cooperation with Open Society and other NGOs, it has in many ways the same operations as those top ''liberal CIA'' NGOs. One of the differences is that NED will never fund the radical left - at least I haven't noticed that. But for all the other ideological questions it is in line with ''liberal CIA''.
On Mon, Mar 7, 2022 at 8:43 PM Joel van der Reijden <joel@isgp-studies.com> wrote:
I do love how we are always on one line. I almost wrote
Others have increasingly been applying the Boxes Model developed by ISGP, always without the slightest hiccups. It just works. What "political scientists" may think of it in the future is going to be moot, considering they didn't do 1% of the supranational political research ISGP has done.

2. **Anti-establishment controlled-opposition whose sources of funding are openly known:** We're primarily talking here about individuals, media and action groups that are critical of "the establishment", but who at the same time are known to take money from "liberal CIA" (new left, social democrats) and "conservative CIA" (old right, new right, alt right) NGOs. On the "left" we have foundations as Ford, Carnegie, Rockefeller and Soros. On the "right" we have a much smaller network of Bradley, Scaife, Mercer, etc. and some of the think tanks they help fund.

   At this level almost everything is about the pushing of Third World immigration, white guilt, Black Lives Matter and equally extremist versions of LGBTQ and feminism. Martin Luther King was funded by this network in the 1950s and 1960s. The "alt right" voices the "anti-woke" agenda, predominantly with regard to LGBTQ and feminism - but is much smaller and clearly has been set up to lose. Traditional conspiracy disinformation, apart from vaccines-will-kill-you propaganda, for the most part is not pushed at this level.

3. **Deep cover:** Deep cover anti-establishment controlled opposition, both on the left and right, with a heavy focus on conspiracy disinformation as chemtrails, ancient aliens, 9/11-no-plane theories, etc.

   The difference with the higher tier is that we don't know who is funding them, or created them. Or even why they do what they do, such as the CIA- and army special forces-tied conspiracy disinformer Alex Jones getting himself a $1.5 billion fine over his Sandy Hook manipulations without breaking (a rather obvious)

cover in any way.[2]

In fact, the primary and often only way to identify deep cover operatives is by knowing *all* the facts surrounding as many key conspiracy subjects as possible, so that someone *knows* - with 100% certainty - who is purposely lying about certain events to their audiences. The more conspiracy subjects you manage to know absolutely everything about, the more of these deep cover operatives you can identify in society. From there it becomes possible to spot more and more patterns. Of course, in this area ISGP is absolutely crucial.

Maybe it must be pointed out here that "deep cover" actually is an official term[3] for CIA agents that are operating in society with fake identities that have been *"carefully developed and nurtured and [are] difficult to penetrate."*[4] Or, per another description, also dating to the mid 1970s:

> *""Deep cover" agents, like the bogus businessmen, ... are the nearest thing in the American intelligence community to the [British] secret agent. ... They are generally men with little ambition for advancement, the source continued. Their only tangible reward is a 10 per cent salary bonus awarded annually for working under dangerous conditions... while he is "in place," or on assignment under cover, the source said, the agent continually presents a fabricated identity to his associates and acquaintances, fending off the ones who attempt to come too close. Even other deep-cover agents with whom he may work off and on for years are likely to know him only by his "funny," or cover, name."*[5]

Of course, "deep cover" is not fully used in the same manner here as per the officially acknowledged, more limited role in the CIA. For starters, the CIA doesn't have any official domestic role, so where would all the thousands of domestically operating conspiracy disinformers come from? The FBI? Well, having spent tens of thousands of hours researching these networks, there are surprisingly few links to be found to the FBI. But there are countless to be found to the CIA, or to businessmen known to have had an affiliation with the CIA.

Literally the latest addition to this site is the former Navy Seal Shawn Ryan, who ended up working for the CIA at a decently high level. Today his interviews with (ancient) alien whistleblowers such as Steven Greer are all over social media. Another, still waiting to be added and picked up from overlapping CIA-meets-ancient alien whistleblower podcasts as Konkrete, is CIA whistleblower John Kariakou, who at the very least lightly dabbles into psychotronic conspiracy theories. He went through hell as a high level CIA covert operations veteran-turned-whistleblower - but he actually very clearly assumed the "liberal CIA" role, while at the

same time operating deep cover within U.S. society with a whole range of prominent "new left"-type journalists. And this time around he does it without the fake names. As said: CIA? You run into it all the time in conspiracy disinformation land. FBI? Very rarely.

In case of ISGP's more extended version of "deep cover", also members of the elite, or "liberal CIA" and "conservative CIA" operators, are often looked at as "deep cover", from board members of the National Endowment for Democracy to foreign and domestic prime ministers and presidents. In the end they are all actors upholding the globalist agenda. Still, in general we reserve the term "deep cover" for conspiracy disinformers and globalist-type activists without known sources of funding or steering.

In fact, the term "deep state", which originated from Turkish government circles, is a very good one, at least when it is seen as "many deep cover agents cooperating". The term was picked up though by Peter Dale Scott, considered a "deep cover" operative himself by ISGP due to inexplicable and inexcusable 9/11-no-plane support, and from there became a frequently used term by every possible chemtrail and Planet X pusher, not to mention "conservative CIA" asset President Donald Trump. That's one of the reasons ISGP prefers to stick to its own terminology, or a term that has more universal historical support behind it.

Boxes Model for politics

If we move on from the strictly vertical tier system and towards the entire left-right-up-down boxes model, it looks as below. Study as you please:


MANAGED & CREATED POLITICAL "BOXES" OF THE GLOBALIST/INTERNATIONALIST MOVEMENT
"Liberal CIA" network of Social-Democrats, Greens & activists
- Always attacking corporations & militarism.
- CO2 & Green-focus.
- LGBTQ & feminism-focus.
- Higher min. wage, etc.
- Mild criticism of open borders regard. big business trade. Still 100% pro-3rd World immigra.
- Huge Ford/Soros Fdn. financing: media, activism.
- Some right-wing conspiracy exposure as CIA drugs, coups, excesses minorly allowed. No genuine JFK/9/11 research.
Liberal Democrat Establishment
- Pro-big business & low taxes, but decent support for health care/social security.
- Obsessed with "sexism" & LGBTQ.
- Moderately Green, but major CO2 focus.
- Fully open borders: trade & 3rd World immigr. - incl. Muslim.
- 90% of black vote, 70-75% of Latino, Muslims, & strangely also still moderate Jewish vote in 2016.
- No JFK/9/11 research.
- Pro-War on Terror, but keeps budget in mind.
Republicans: Rockefeller
- Largely similar to modern elite Democrats, but pushed out by Right for being too "communist".
- Quietly managed "conservative" administrations as Nixon/Reagan and influences neocon admins as Bush 43.
- Anti-conspiracy t.
Moderate anti-Third World immi. whites & espec. Jews have no representation.
Republicans: neocon
- Pro-Israel. Uses US to take out all enemy regimes of Israel.
- Ignores US budget to wage war. Thus huge debt.
- Quietly open borders: trade & 3rd W. immigr. At most some opposition to Muslim immigr.
- Obsessed with War on Terror, police state & DOD-allied.
- Anti-conspiracy t.
Republicans: Christian Conserv.
- Cold War interventionist 'New Right' inc. 'Religious Right'.
- Traditionally anti-Jew, but Allied with pro-Israel neocons since late 1970s against Rockefeller Republicans and Democrats (although neocons are Democrat).
- Anti-conspiracy theory.
Genuine JFK, 9/11, etc. conspiracy theory also never represented.
"Antifa" networks
- Globalist-communist (racial communist) stormtroopers of the globalists providing excuses for media attention, legal action and stigmatization.
- Largely the same as "Liberal CIA", but more radical & violent, with far less obvious Soros & Ford Fdn. financing.
- Completely obsessed with feminism, LGBTQ rights, and, most importantly, black/Muslim activism & unrestricted 3rd World immigration.
- 100% pro-Palestine/ Muslim and 100% anti-Israel.
- Zero percent interest in genuine JFK or 9/11 research.
"Alt-Right"
- Term invented by elites during Trump years to lob together all previously-established Christian-Right anti-establishment "conspiracy theory" groups: the non-Nazi libertarians & JBS-ers (only focus: BB, TC, BG, CFR), the JBS-allied Infowars types (also focus & disinform on JFK, 911, etc.), Jew-obsessed conspiracy Nazis as Rense, & any "populist" politicians whose ideas overlap with this network (rational aspects or not).
- Conveniently, the only box that allows for 3rd World immigration opposition & the discussing of key conspiracies as JFK & 911. Obviously HIGHLY manipulated.
- Appears managed by globalists through the CIA: Conserv. wing.
Libertarian
- Pretends to be anti-establishment Republican, but wolf in sheep's clothing (with elite ties).
- Not "libertarian" as in "liberal" or "ethical".
- Wants a "free-market" by banning all regulations & even taxes, effectively banning gov's - which will lead to the rise of giant monopolies & widespead poverty & exploitation.
- Conveniently fully open borders because "you can't restrict people."
- Key groups as the CATO Institute do not appreciate any "conspiracy mongering".
- West-European parties ALL used to be a combination of America's Democrat Party and its "liberal CIA"-backed socialist wing and Green Party. Thus, all were pro-Third World immigration. The EU's newer "populist" parties created anti-globalist conservatism and thus led to (enforced) "divisiveness".
- No boxes exist that combine moderate liberal socialism with opposition to Third World immigration - the real choice of the (white) masses, polls reveal.
ISGP-studies.com '18
"In-between" boxes are the NEW generation. Often "left-right" aware-centrists, but mix it with Jew-hating, chemtrails, Flat Earth, etc.

We're all roughly familiar with most of these boxes. Just no one has put it together like this. Very much key here is that these boxes are very rigidly maintained. It's pretty much non-existent, if not fully non-existent, that a politician or political prospect is putting together his own agenda, picking and mixing together both "left" and "right" elements. We all know about the rigidness of American Republican versus Democrat politics, but also in Canada or Europe we don't see liberal-socialist parties that are very strongly, but also very rationally and scientifically based on polls, ethnic crime and IQ numbers, against Third World immigration. All of them are very hardcore pro-Third World immigration: the Socialist Party, the Labor Party, the "Party of the Animals", the Green-Left, the Christian-Democrats, etc. In the same way that absolutely none of them are interested in genuine questions of conspiracy. If that is not perfect evidence of an invisible control structure, then what is?


GREENS & SOCIALISTS
Endless Third World immigration is good!
ALL DEMOCRATS
Endless Third World immigration is good!
IGNORED CENTER
ELITE REPUBLICANS
Endless Third World immigration is good!
NEOCONS
Endless Third World immigration is good!
ANTIFA MOVEMENT
Endless Third World immigration is good!
EU LIBS & SOCIALISTS
Endless Third World immigration is good!
ELITE LIBERTARIANS
Endless Third World immigration is good!
"ALT-RIGHT"*
Ban it!! We're giant weirdos though.
*Controlled opposition. Conspiracy disinfo, plays into "hate" stigmas, etc.
ISGP-studies.com '18
You know what I want to vote for, sis?
I know, it's not there.
A normal liberal-socialist party that is strongly against Third World immigration - based on scientific reason.
Yet... the facts ARE: ethnic crime and IQ stats, polls, clashing values, animosity, and too few white births.

The above oversight actually is slightly outdated. It was created after Trump came into office, largely on an anti-immigration platform with him repeatedly referring to Third World immigrants as rapists. [6] But what did he do or discuss during his presidency on this subject? He tried to build a wall, which he would never be able to finish, pretending it would solve all immigration problems. Far worse, if we look at the small print, we find that Trump has done nothing at all to stop the white percentage from declining with 0.5% a year. He has not advocated higher birth numbers for whites and quite openly continued to sign off on increased Third World immigration. For example, during his 2019 State of the Union address, Trump said:

> *"Legal immigrants enrich our nation and strengthen our society in countless ways. I want people to come into our country, in the largest numbers ever, but they have to come in legally."* [7]

As Breitbart very accurately pointed out, Trump is using the usual *"legal/good, illegal/bad dodge"*. In contrast to Europe, where people criticize Third World immigration as a whole, the debate in the United States has been manipulated into a "legal/good", "illegal/bad" argument. In other words, if the government officially approves Africans, Arabs and Latinos to enter the United States, it is "good".

We see similar problems when looking at the hyper-popular "paleoconservative" Tucker Carlson. Analyzing his narratives and articles at Fox News' Carlson Tonight, his homebase from 2016 until his sudden firing in April 2023, we see how he:

1. hides "liberal CIA" funding of media as NPR;
2. hides ethnic crime and IQ numbers;
3. thinks "race politics" in general should be banned;
4. avoids talking about globalist entities as the Council on Foreign Relations and the Trilateral Commission. [8]

Maybe we shouldn't be surprised about that seeing how his father, Dick Carlson, was a Pilgrims Society member alongside generations of Rockefellers, and a pro-Reagan, pro-Iran Contra propagandist. It's nevertheless a fact. And the story got even more intriguing in late 2023, a year before the 2024 elections in which Trump was build up again as the "anti-establishment" candidate. In November of that year, Carlson appeared alongside Donald Trump and Dana White at UFC 295. [9] On December 8, Carlson published a 90 minute interview with the CIA-tied conspiracy disinformation guru Alex Jones on his Twitter / channel. Just two days later, on December 10, X-owner Elon Musk not only unbanned Alex Jones from his platform again - which had been done before Musk bought it - he actually held a celebratory podcast with Andrew Tate, Alex Jones and other Trump supporters, including Trump's former national security advisor and Pizzagate disinformer General Mike Flynn. [10]

The additional events here are just provided for context. What is particularly significant here is the December 8, 2023-published discussion that Tucker Carlson had with Alex Jones. Playing devil's advocate, and once again avoiding ethnic crime numbers, Carlson went:

> *"I grew up in a world where judging people on the basis of their skin color was totally immoral - and I still believe that. But if you told me 20 years ago that we would live in a world where people get on TV and just be like, "I hate white people. They're bad." - Oh no, it's totally fine. Like, why do people put up with that? There should be zero tolerance for that. I don't get it. ... You are not allowed to attack people on the basis of their race."*

Jones, who brought the topic up first, continued answering as follows:

> *"The Democratic Party founded the KKK. And when the majority were whites, in the south, in some areas, they played the race card for political power. Now that the majority in most areas are not white, they are playing the good old fashion race card. Tell whites, "Stand down. Let people take over your society. Your culture. Give up your culture. It's embarrassing to be white." ... And the left is now trying to convert all these minority groups, that are now the majority in most areas, into race-based systems. That is a very effective system...*
>
> *"The reason they've done this, most of the enforcers are white liberal women, 45 or older [Tucker goes: "Fair!"], just a fact - and their cucked husbands. ... So if you get around these white liberals, they live in the whitest areas. They are totally scared of a man with a brown skin, but they virtue signal constantly and say, "Yes, the white people are bad." ...*
>
> *"Xi Jinping ... is literally following a race-based agenda that is taking over the world, that owns the majority of Hollywood, that has paid off the Democratic Party. And when you look at all these anti-white shows you see funded in Hollywood, almost all of it, has majority literal communist Chinese government backing that is funding this. So it's real simple: China looks in and sees this diverse culture and they say, "We're going to use that to split the country up and balkanize it. And that is what they've done.*
>
> *"So Communist China, together with the Southern Poverty Law Center, and the [Zionist] ADL and all these groups, see America's weakness, and they literally are coming in saying, "White people are inherently bad because of the color of their skin. They have inherently cheated people, hurt people. White people invented*

> *racism." ... Then they organize all these other groups into race-based groups to then unify them under the Democratic Party to attack who ... tends to be more conservative - and that is *hesitation, because he means "racist"* some white people in this country. ... So it's the left, and the think tanks, and the communist Chinese that look at the U.S. and have seen our weakness as race and they are exacerbating it. So they are importing a new enforcement class against the American people. And they are setting it up along racial lines. Not us. They're making it racial. ...*
>
> *"[But] they are panicking, because more and more black people are voting Republican. More and more Hispanics are starting to vote Republican. They are starting to figure this out. So now they are in panic mode."*

In other words, anno 2023 Tucker Carlson and by then even Alex Jones essentially were promoting the idea that races, in fact, are all equal, but that "the left", "communist China", "leftist" NGOs as the (Soros- and Ford Foundation-funded) Southern Poverty Law Center, and the Jews are artificially dividing the people of the United States. Looking at articles on his Infowars site over the years, Jones knows better. A whole lot better. But it is clear that Donald Trump, Tucker Carlson and Alex Jones all try to downplay the highly elevated crime numbers of Third World immigrants as much as possible - until maybe one day, for whatever reason, somewhere within the elite it is considered an acceptable discussion.

Elon Musk actually takes it a bit further since 2022 by continually talking about the need to have more children, without drawing it much to the immigration problem. The biggest problem there is: he never mentions "white people", or that black people in Africa already get 5-7 children per mother. With soon over half of the United States from the Third World, messages like these are not going to matter much.


GREENS & SOCIALISTS
Endless Third World immigration is good!
ALL DEMOCRATS
Endless Third World immigration is good!
ELITE REPUBLICANS
Endless Third World immigration is good!
NEOCONS
Endless Third World immigration is good!
IGNORED CENTER
ANTIFA MOVEMENT
Endless Third World immigration is good!
EU LIBS & SOCIALISTS
Endless Third World immigration is good!
ELITE LIBERTARIANS
Endless Third World immigration is good!
"ALT-RIGHT"*
Stop it! Partially.. Maybe. Let's be weird & radical.
*Controlled opposition. Conspiracy disinfo, plays into "hate" stigmas, etc.
ISGP-studies.com '18
You know what I want to vote for, sis?
I know, it's not there.
A normal liberal-socialist party that is strongly against Third World immigration - based on scientific reason.
Yet... the facts ARE: ethnic crime and IQ stats, polls, clashing values, animosity, and too few white births.

In any case, that one lone red-colored TV screen on which an anti-immigration perspective could be heard, should really be colored half-green, half-red, because in the end it is just rhetoric. We've seen the same

thing with "alt right" candidates in European countries, with more information to be found in the "conservative CIA" oversight. Fact is, if the media gives attention to "populist" or "alt right" candidates, it only means one thing: they are controlled opposition. Because in 20 years time, ISGP has only been further censored and ignored.

Boxes Model for conspiracy disinformation

The same boxes model goes for conspiracy:


BOX MODEL FOR CONSPIRACY HANDLING BY THE MEDIA AND RELATED ELITES
Conspiracy disinformers: no-plane-at-Pentagon, 7 shots from 4 different positions with JFK, etc.
Tons of ridicule from official sources, with regular media "debates" taking place in which various easy-to-debunk points of conspiracy disinformers are debunked and/or allowed to seep into public consciousness to create stigmas and set-ups for future debunking.
Offical, anti-conspiracy positions by various authorities.
Occasional support or discussion, but often tons of infighting, with endless "disinfo" accusations.
Extreme disinformers: hologram & scalar theories for 9/11, driver killed JFK, Holocaust denial, etc.
* Examples would be 9/11 questions, but not no-plane theories; JFK questions, but no sewer shots; no Holocaust denial, chemtrail theories, etc.
THE MASSES AND REASONABLE* MIDDLE GROUND: IGNORED, CONFUSED AND PRESSURED INTO PICKING AN (ILLOGICAL) SIDE.
ISGP-studies.com '18

When it comes to genuine conspiracy, there's always an official narrative, in contrast to the facts; then there's a dominant conspiracy narrative, which *always* is riddled with disinformation. The best example of this is how 95% of historical 9/11 Truth "researchers" have promoted completely bogus 9/11-no-plane theories - immediately outing them as being representatives of, yes, "something". We don't really know, although it should be clear that the expertise of the CIA is deeply involved in the running of these deep cover networks.

Most important here is that the "no planer" box has been very carefully created as an opposite to the "official" narrative. Unless maybe one is a prominent Holocaust denier, or chemtrail pusher, it has been virtually impossible to engage prominent or less prominent 9/11 "researchers" in debate on the no-plane issue. As this author experienced, you just get the door slammed in your face, as it has always been a major "hold the line" issue. The propaganda on this issue has been so extreme that there are random, particularly simple-minded people bursting out in laughter when you express skepticism about 9/11, immediately going, *"What?! Haha! You believe that no plane hit the Pentagon!"* The author has experienced this as well. It's a completely manufactured debate, but it has been very effective in shutting down any genuine debates, even in people's homes.

The usual other (thought) "boxes" in society are chemtrails, ancient aliens, flat earth, vaccines-are-deadly, etc. Generally when a "researcher"

comes out in favor of one of these (bogus) theories, he or she also comes out supporting a variety of other ones. But make no mistake. There is no "free will" here. These are carefully-crafted boxes. What we see in terms of "out there" theories, how clearly manipulative they are once you study them, and how systematic they are over the decades, the type of people pushing them, and the radio shows where so many of them are given a voice, and it is very clear that there's a CIA- or CIA-tied department overseeing the creation of these theories, and the recruitment of individuals perpetuating them.

Once again, 9/11 is probably the ultimate example in this. There are all kinds of legitimate areas of research that could have taken place - areas we're really not going to get into here. But none of that research, or almost none of that, has been done, certainly not in a reliable fashion. By no one. What we do have is people like Alex Jones, from the start, creating documentaries that manage to perfectly zoom in on the two or three questionable witnesses in existence (this author organized the testimony of all WTC and Pentagon witnesses) and all the spicy-sounding bogus evidence - such as seismic spikes and basement bombs.

If Alex Jones would be the only one doing that, it would be one thing. But there has been a large network of these conspiracy disinformers all latching on to these very same bogus theories, while ignoring all the important material. That's simply not normal. And it forces one to conclude that there is a secret overseeing group that is not just creating random conspiracy nonsense, but really creating all these bogus conspiracy boxes, and cramming large numbers of its "researchers" in them.

The only major mystery really is why no one has a change of heart and exposes this process. Maybe it will still happen, in time.

Notes

1. 1998, Noam Chomsky, 'The Common Good', p. 64.
2. Dec. 2, 2022, Reuters, 'Alex Jones files for bankruptcy following $1.5 billion Sandy Hook verdicts'.
3. May 8, 1987, Washington Post, 'Excerpts from the Testimony of Richard V. Secord': *"q. They were in deep cover? Correct? a. Not too deep. [Laughter]. q. Not too deep now, but you know how long it took to find [Robert] Olmstead [a pseudonym for the person in charge of an airstrip in northern Costa Rica]. a. Mr. Olmstead we did a better job on, I guess."*
   Also see the next two notes. There are plenty more examples to be found.
4. Jan. 4, 1976, New York Times, 'Slaying of C.I.A. Officer Stirs a Debate on Identity Disclosures'.

5. May 11, 1975, New York Times, 'C.I.A. Covert Activities Abroad Shielded by Major U.S. Companies'.
6. April 6, 2018, CNN, 'Trump basically called Mexicans rapists again'.
7. Feb. 6, 2019, Breitbart, 'Trump Reverses Wage-Boosting Campaign Commitment, Demands More Legal Immigration: 'We Need People'.
8. Visit Tucker Carlson's entry in ISGP's "Conservative CIA" article for an analysis.
9. Nov. 11, 2023, New York Post, 'Donald Trump arrives at MSG to attend UFC 295 flanked by Kid Rock, Dana White and Tucker Carlson\.
10. Dec. 10, 2023, Forbes, 'Elon Musk Celebrates Alex Jones X Return In Chat—Joined By Controversial Figures Andrew Tate, Mike Flynn, More'.